

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/780,554	02/19/2004	Stephen McConnell Gates	20140-00318-US	1709		
30678	30678 7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER		
CONNOLLY SUITE 800	BOVE LODGE & H	UTZ LLP	WILLIAMS, AL	LEXANDER O		
1990 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2826			

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/780,554	GATES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(hp)			
	<u> </u>	Alexander O. Williams	2826				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ☑ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-33 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or elements Con Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or decla	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the legan is r	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
	·	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PT	0-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1 to 11), filed 9/9/05, has been acknowledged.

This application contains claims 12 to 33 drawn to an invention non-elected without traverse.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Any one species in figure 1-4.

Species I, figure 1

Species II, figure 2

Species III, figure 3

Species IV, figure 4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 2826

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander O Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826

AOW 10/15/05